The Dust Bowl

Can you picture what it would be like to be lost in a cloud of soil? Think of dust and soil swirling about so thickly that you can’t breathe. Then think about that dust blowing into your house. It blows into the engine of the family car, and now the car is ruined. Dirt piles up so high by the barn door, you have to shovel it away just to open the door. Picture a landscape with nothing growing. Fields where cotton and wheat should be growing are dry, dusty, dead.

Huge dust storms blew across the southern Great Plains from 1935 to 1938. And with the dust, a whole way of life went away. Small family farmers were ruined. Families were without food, without money, and often without hope. Many of these people left the Great Plains and traveled to California in search of a better life.

The dust storms hit hardest in an area where the borders of five states meet. This area, including parts of Texas, Oklahoma, Kansas, Colorado, and New Mexico, was known as the Dust Bowl. Along with the farmland that was destroyed in these areas, a much larger area was also damaged.

What caused the Dust Bowl? There were three main problems. One was a drought, or lack of rain and snow, that lasted for seven years. Without rain, the land became drier and drier. Added to this problem was the lack of natural grasses in the area. For centuries, this region had been a prairie where tall grasses grew. Their roots reached far down into the soil, tangling with each other and anchoring the soil in place. But what was once prairie had been turned into farmland and grazing land. As far as you could see, the land had been plowed up. The native grasses and their tangled roots, seemingly worthless, had been plowed under, and crop seed had been planted in their place. Without roots to anchor the soil, without rain to keep the soil moist, the dirt turned to dust. And when giant windstorms swept through, they carried away the rich but dry soil.

When the first dust storms hit in 1935, the spring crops had just been planted. Since the plants were still small, their roots were short and could not hold the soil. Farmers had no crops to harvest in the fall—nothing had grown. Everything had died. Without cash from a crop, the farmers had no money to spend on food or other needs. As a result, other businesses also failed, and thousands of people left the region.

Go on to the next page.
**DUST STORMS CHAPTER**

**Introduction**

A dust storm is a strong, violent wind that carries fine particles such as silt, sand, clay, and other materials, often for long distances. The fine particles swirl around in the air during the storm. A dust storm can spread over hundreds of miles and rise over 10,000 feet. They have wind speeds of at least 25 miles per hour.

Dust storms usually arrive with little warning and advance in the form of a big wall of dust and debris. The dust is blinding, making driving safely a challenge. A dust storm may last only a few minutes at any given location, but often leave serious car accidents in their wake, occasionally massive pileups.

The arid regions of Central and Eastern Oregon can experience sudden dust storms on windy days. These are produced by the interaction of strong winds, fine-grained surface material, and landscapes with little vegetation. The winds involved can be as small as “dust devils” or as large as fast moving regional air masses.

**Hazard Analysis/Characterization**

Dust storms occur most frequently over deserts and regions of dry soil, where particles are loosely bound to the surface. Dust storms don’t just happen in the middle of the desert, however. They happen in any dry area where loose dirt can easily be picked up by wind. Grains of sand, lofted into the air by the wind, fall back to the ground within a few hours, but smaller particles remain suspended in the air for a week or more and can be swept thousands of kilometers downwind. Dust from the Sahara desert regularly crosses the Atlantic, causing bright red sunrises and sunsets in Florida, traveling as far as the Caribbean and the Amazon Basin.1

Airborne dust particles, or dust aerosols, alter the climate by intercepting sunlight intended for the surface. By shading the earth from the sun’s radiation, dust aerosols have the same effect as a rain cloud. While solar radiation is reduced beneath the dust cloud, the absorption of sunlight by dust particles heats the cloud itself.

Approximately half of the dust in today’s atmosphere may result from changes to the environment caused by human activity, including agriculture, overgrazing, and the cutting of forests. Data from dust traps near urban areas like Las Vegas show that the spread of housing and other human construction across the desert directly causes increases in dust storms by destabilizing the surface and vegetation.2

---

1 Much of the first four paragraphs are from [http://www.kidzworld.com/site/p707.htm#](http://www.kidzworld.com/site/p707.htm#)

---

“In September of 1999, after a long dry summer, a farmer was plowing his wheat fields in Eastern Oregon on a blue-skies day. A freak wind whipped up dust and covered the roadway. Instantly, everything went black. Later, they found dead people in cars with the cruise controls still set as high as 75 miles an hour. One person involved in the accident tried to go back to warn others. He waved at them, but the passing drivers just waved back... The last sight the young man had of one trucker was the trucker driving full bore into the dust storm, both hands off the wheel as he waved at the young man.”

(April Henry from *Learning to Fly*)

During this September 25, 1999 dust storm, high winds blowing dust set off a chain-reaction of crashes that killed eight people and injured more than twenty. In all, more than forty vehicles crashed in separate pileups in both freeway directions between Hermiston and Pendleton. Parts of Interstate 84 were blocked from mid-morning until nearly midnight.

Huge dust clouds set off by 50 mile per hour winds, dry soil, recent planting of nearby wheat fields and harvesting of potato fields created extremely hazardous driving conditions that fateful morning. However, an Oregon State Police (OSP) report on the dust storm didn’t blame the weather. It reported that driving too fast for conditions was the primary cause of the pileups.

The report indicated that neither OSP nor ODOT had enough warning time to close the freeway before the chain reaction crashes started. Five minutes after OSP noticed that visibility on the freeway was rapidly getting worse, the accidents started.

Community Solutions Team meetings held in early 2000 determined that focusing on the Natural Resources Conservation Service, and Soil and Water Conservation District practices shown on pages DS-4 and 5 of this chapter will help reduce the volume of materials available to be whipped-up in dust storms.

These meetings also resulted in initiatives to increase detection and warning time. These allow OSP and ODOT to temporarily close certain highways, as well as better inform and advise the traveling public. These are covered on pages DS-5 to DS-7.

Several other ideas were examined for possible implementation along the I-84 corridor. Most were determined to be either ineffective or impractical for solving the problems of dust storms that occasionally occur in the area.

---

Derived from the reports developed by a Community Solutions Team and Oregon State Police after the September 25, 1999 Umatilla County dust storm
Intensive tillage of soils in agricultural uses is also a significant condition releasing soil to make it easily transportable by high winds. Depending on the crop and region involved, tillage may be occurring in the spring and/or in the autumn. Research in north-central Oregon and south-central Washington\(^3\) indicates that region's dust problem isn't simply a matter of soil being redistributed from one field to another by the wind. Fine particulate becomes suspended in the air and may travel thousands of miles. Scientists indicate that the region is truly losing soil.\(^4\)

Among the significant dust storms that have occurred in the Mid-Columbia Region in the past are the following:

- **May 2, 1843** Mid-Columbia Region
  - Information from the diary of Rev. Gustavus Hines, who was traveling by canoe with a Dr. Davis in the Columbia Gorge

- **April 21-23, 1931** Mid-Columbia Region
  - Dust from this event was carried by an east wind into the Willamette Valley, both down the Gorge and over the Cascade passes (also see the box on page DS-3 and Appendix DS-1: news reports from the 1931 event make historical reference to “the great sandstorm of 1906 that lasted two weeks”)

- **May 23, 1975** Near Echo, Oregon (Mid-Columbia Region)
  - Winds up to 45 mph blew dust from nearby plowed fields, resulting in a seven-car accident on a Friday afternoon in the eastbound lanes of Interstate 80 (now I-84), four injured

- **March 24, 1976** Near Stanfield, Oregon (Mid-Columbia Region)
  - Eighteen vehicles piled-up in two separate accidents on Interstate 80, now I-84; these accidents killed one and injured 20 people; they were caused by a dust storm (referred to in the press as a sand storm) that produced “near zero” visibility; one of the pile-ups was a fiery accident involving a loaded fuel tanker truck, two other trucks, and two cars; this dust storm also caused road closures both south and north of Hermiston, and caused other accidents on Highway 207 about nine miles south of I-80 (84)

“(Farmers) say this is a problem the Columbia Basin, composed of mostly sandy soils, has experienced every spring before the rapid farm development that has followed circle irrigation… Luther Fitch, county extension agent in Hermiston… facetiously said Wednesday’s winds ‘probably sent a foot of topsoil back to Montana… undoubtedly there will be considerable need to replant spring wheat and potatoes. Fertilizer will have moved on and needs to be reapplied.’” *East Oregonian*, Steve Clark, Friday, March 26, 1976, p.1

- **July 9, 1979** Near Stanfield
  - This dust storm caused two deaths and six injuries in a freeway pile-up on I-80 (84) very close to the location of the previous event; winds near 60 mph; some of the injured were hit as pedestrians while trying to assist those already injured or pinned in automobiles

- **Oct. 3, 1990** Near Kennewick, WA
  - Two chain-reaction accidents on I-82 involving 26 vehicles killed one and injured at least a dozen; due to blowing dust and the aftermath of the collisions, the highway was closed more than nine hours

- **Sept. 25, 1999** Near Echo
  - See box on page DS-1

---

\(^3\) In the *State Natural Hazards Risk Assessment*, this portion of Oregon is called the Mid-Columbia Region.

\(^4\) [http://cahenews.wsu.edu/RELEASES/2003/03002.htm](http://cahenews.wsu.edu/RELEASES/2003/03002.htm)
April 16, 2001  Near Klamath Falls  Highway 97 about five miles north of Klamath Falls was closed for approximately six hours following three separate crashes; eleven cars were involved, sending nine people to the hospital; the accidents were due to severely limited visibility caused by high winds blowing dust from a recently plowed field across the highway.

As this chapter was being finished in August of 2004, a dust storm struck in Arizona, proving that fatal accidents due to dust storms is not a situation limited to Oregon:

Aug. 11, 2004  West of Phoenix  A series of chain-reaction accidents 45 and 75 miles west of Phoenix led to four deaths and 42 injuries “during a blinding dust storm”; nearly two-dozen vehicles, including a passenger bus and 12 tractor-trailers, were involved in crashes on both sides of Interstate 10.

...dust from freshly plowed fields hung heavy over much of Oregon last night as a windstorm of gale proportions continued unabated. One death and several injuries were attributed to the storm... Political storms abated for the moment. Salem lay yesterday under a pall of Eastern Oregon dust, which the oldest old-timers said was unique in the city’s history. A swirling northeast wind drove tons of Eastern Oregon dust before it, down the Columbia Gorge and into Western Oregon. Diverting down the Willamette River at Portland, the dust clouds reached the valley early Wednesday morning and shrouded the entire country... Lights went on in schools, homes, and business houses as though the day was mid-winter... Old-timers in Salem scratched their heads yesterday and tried to recall a parallel in storm history for the dust invasion... but no precedent for the gale of dirt could be recalled. ‘I recall a terrific storm in January 1880,’ said A.N. Moores. ‘However, it was a wind storm alone and there was no dirt accompanying it’. (Mill City) was surprised Tuesday evening when a heavy bank of clouds filled with dust began to work its way over the mountains and shut off the view of the surrounding hills by its denseness.”  Oregon Statesman, Thursday, April 23, 1931, pp.1-2

See Appendix DS-1 for additional historic accounts of this event.

Central and Southeast Oregon farmers, ranchers, homeowners, resort properties, and wildlife sometimes find themselves vying for limited water. This competition for scarce water can affect the locations and amounts of dust lifted into the atmosphere, and blown on the wind.

Air quality is adversely affected by windblown dust. Oregon’s Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) has developed a rule concerning air pollution caused by particulates from volcanic ashfall or windblown dust. Excerpts from that rule are shown in Appendix DS-2 to this chapter.

Although many people are aware of the negative effects of dust storms such as vehicle crashes on highways, erosion of topsoil, dust in electronic equipment and aircraft engines, and poor air quality, a less obvious but important effect of dust storms and volcanic ashfall is not widely known: dust and ash deposited on the ground surface in new locations is eventually carried down into the soil by rain, providing important nutrients for plants in those locations.

During June 2004, a group of residents of Summer Lake, known as Friends of Summer Lake, asked the state to divert to the lake a third of the water that currently feeds a wildlife sanctuary and irrigates pastures, contending that these uses make the lake dry-up sooner and more often. Another factor in the lake drying-up, however, is increased development in and around the basin, which has reduced the underground aquifer, decreasing the flow of springs.

Rainfall in the area, mostly during winter, averages 12 inches per year, but evaporation in the high desert - where summer temperatures can climb to 105 degrees - averages 40 to 50 inches per year.

Darrell Seven, who owns Summer Lake Inn with his wife, Jean Sage, said wind whipping over the dry lakebed causes alkali dust storms. "It's hard to breathe, it's irritating and it makes you sick," said Seven, who has been in the valley for 30 years. "I lose customers all the time who say they just can't handle it."

Alan Withers, president of the Summer Lake Irrigation District said, however, "This lake isn't very pretty, and we get a lot of dust down here. It's nature's way."

Based on an Associated Press article; for the full story, see: http://www.katu.com/outdoor/story.asp?ID=68479

Competition for scarce water can affect the location and frequency of dust storms.
Existing Strategies and Programs

The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) and local soil and water conservation districts (SWCD) have long sought to reduce wind erosion of cropland. Farming practices commonly used in dryland cropping areas, such as reduced tillage and residue management, reflect this interest. However, occasionally after long periods with little or no precipitation any activities that disturb soil or reduce vegetation can lead to conditions conducive to dust storms.

Nationally, NRCS has developed quality criteria for wind erosion control practices and use a wind erosion equation model for predicting potential wind erosion under various farming systems.

Since 1985, to maintain eligibility for USDA Farm Program benefits, landowners have been required to meet minimum standards for control of erosion, both from water and wind. Participating farmers have developed and are responsible for implementing conservation plans for all farmland designated as highly erodible. Plans address practices such as residue management, tillage methods, and irrigation management.

At this time, wind erosion control is a requirement under the Federal Farm Bill for certain commodities such as wheat and corn, but depending on the rotation, may not be a requirement for other commodities such as potatoes or vegetables. USDA-NRCS is generally responsible for these programs.

Wind erosion is ranked high among concerns for funding under the Environmental Quality Incentive Program, the current USDA cost-share program available to landowners.

**Conservation Reserve Program (CRP)**

CRP retires eligible cropland from agricultural production and plants the land to permanent grass cover that reduces erosion and benefits wildlife populations. CRP does a very good job of providing cover that reduces windblown dust and has been effective in reducing soil erosion in the areas most prone to wind erosion. However, silt soils easily stay suspended for long periods of time and thus can move great distances affecting visibility on roads away from the protected fields. The strategy to encourage a strip of CRP along the freeway has been determined to probably be ineffective at reducing dust storm intensity. Also, the fire hazard could be worst than the dust hazard. In Umatilla County, NRCS has designated an area near I-84 as a wind erosion priority area to influence enrollment into the Conservation Reserve Program.

**DUST STORM DRIVING SAFETY TIPS**

Dust storms usually last only a few minutes at any given location, but the actions a motorist takes during the storm may be the most important of his or her life, and those of passengers. OSP and ODOT officials have issued the following tips for driving when visibility is limited.

If dense dust is observed blowing across or approaching a roadway, pull your vehicle off the pavement as far as possible; stop, turn off lights, set the emergency brake, take your foot off of the brake pedal to be sure tail lights are not illuminated. Don’t enter the dust storm area if you can avoid it. If you can't pull off the roadway, de-activate cruise control if in use, proceed at a speed suitable for visibility, turn on lights and sound horn occasionally. Use the painted centerline to help guide you. Look for a safe place to pull off the roadway. Never stop on the traveled portion of the roadway.

**LIGHTS OUT!**

In the past, motorists driving in dust storms have pulled off the roadway, leaving lights on. Vehicles approaching from the rear and using the advanced car's lights as a guide have inadvertently left the roadway and in some instances collided with the parked vehicle. Make sure all of your lights are off when you park off the roadway.

**HEED WARNINGS**

A dust storm (or sand storm) warning means visibility of less than ¼ mile due to blowing dust or sand, and wind speeds of 25 miles per hour or more. A blowing dust advisory is issued for visibility between ¼ and ¾ of a mile. Dust and other environmental factors such as smoke, snow, heavy rain or fog can create dangerous driving situations.

This advice is consolidated from numerous sources in an attempt to clarify and simplify the best actions to take when encountering reduced visibility. During threatening weather, drivers should listen to commercial radio or television, adjust travel plans accordingly, and drive with extra caution.

********************************

One source for the above is: [http://www.nws.noaa.gov/om/brochures/duststrm.htm](http://www.nws.noaa.gov/om/brochures/duststrm.htm)
No-Till Cropping

SWCDs have been actively promoting, through education and incentives, direct seeding methods. Direct seeding or no-till cropping systems utilize technology that places seed and fertilizer into undisturbed soil and residue from the previous crop. This results in minimal soil disturbance and reduced potential for wind and water erosion.

Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service (CSREES) funded research on the Columbia Plateau has demonstrated that no-till cropping can significantly reduce predicted dust emissions during severe winds:

“The great glaciers of the Pleistocene age...deposited...the rich loess soils of the Palouse... loess is among the finest of agricultural soils...(but) the tiny particles of soil fall easy prey to the wind common in the area... In the Mid-Columbia Basin, an estimated 175,000 acres of land are subject to wind erosion; the lost material generally settles somewhere to the east.”

*Pacific Northwest Quarterly*, “The Pacific Northwest Dust Storm of 1931,” Paul C. Pitzer, April 1988; see also the following two sources used by Mr. Pitzer:


http://www.csrees.usda.gov/nea/nre/sri/air_sri_dust.html

The research shows that no-till cropping can reduce predicted dust emissions by 94% during severe wind events, compared to conventional wheat-fallow. Research continues on measuring dust emissions from fields on the Columbia Plateau, a 50,000 square-mile region in Washington, Oregon, and Idaho containing one of the driest, yet most productive, rain-fed wheat regions in the world.

No-till only works for some crops under certain conditions, however, and even in situations where it does work, some farmers find that they need to till the soil periodically to reduce diseases and redistribute soil moisture.

Hazard Mitigation Successes

Local, state, and federal agencies have achieved a number of successes in reducing losses due to dust storms, especially since the tragic events of September 1999. A few of the key successes follow.

1. **Using Real-Time Video to Issue Dust Storm Warnings**

In addition to improved warning capabilities made possible by the Wind Erosion Hazard Index (see #5 on page DS-7), ODOT has installed a microwave system and roadside camera tower near the Lorenzen Road Interchange ten miles west of Pendleton. The microwave and camera structures flank the south side of the freeway, opposite the Rew Grain Elevator, a familiar sight for many traveling the dry flatlands between Pendleton and Boardman. Although the two structures will not likely replace the grain elevator as a key navigational landmark, the camera images and weather information will serve motorists.

Two cameras are currently mounted on a metal tower next to the microwave tower. One provides a snapshot of the freeway and is posted on the TripCheck Web site:

http://www.tripcheck.com/RoadCams/roadcams.htm
The other camera provides a real-time image, viewed by ODOT District 12 office personnel only. A weather station and visibility meter have also been added to the camera tower. These tools monitor blowing dust conditions during high winds. The real-time camera can be panned and tilted to check eastbound and westbound traffic as well as scan the nearby fields. With blowing dust a major concern, the camera provides an easy way to check the status of the freeway and quickly dispatch service providers to where they are needed. In addition to ODOT personnel, Oregon State Police are contacted if any dust storm activity is seen.

The cameras and weather monitoring equipment are part of an ongoing effort to provide immediate information about highway sections that are prone to blowing dust hazards. They are also items identified by the Community Solutions Team (CST) that was established in response to the multi-vehicle crashes that occurred in September 1999 near the towers.

2. ODOT Intelligent Transportation Systems
2.1 Highway Advisory Radio

In addition to the ODOT capabilities noted in #1 above, three AM radio transmitters have been installed for Highway Advisory Radio along Interstate 84 in Morrow and Umatilla counties: one at the Boardman Safety Rest Area, another at the District 12 maintenance station, and the third near Mission. When an emergency occurs, the ODOT District 12 office selects the appropriate pre-recorded message on the system and transmits it via radio. At the same time, ODOT activates yellow flashing beacons. Motorists seeing the signs and flashing lights should tune to 1610 AM and comply with any messages. In the case of a dust storm, motorists are advised to slow down and exit the freeway as soon as possible. ODOT worked with OEM’s CSEPP office in Pendleton and local emergency management personnel on this project.

Also installed in the system is the ability to re-broadcast National Weather Service (NWS) weather information. NOAA Weather Radio is re-broadcast on a continuous basis unless there is an emergency. An emergency broadcast then overrides the NOAA Weather Radio service.

3. Gates to Close Access to Interstate 84 During Hazardous Conditions

ODOT has installed two gates for I-84 closures as recommended by the Community Solutions Team that met in early 2000. The gates were also funded by CSEPP. The gates are not across the freeway itself, but rather at on-ramps. There is one at the eastbound I-84 on-ramp at exit 165 (Port of Morrow, just east of Boardman). The other is on the westbound on-ramp at exit 202 (Barnhart Road, just west of Pendleton). State and local law enforcement officers and ODOT highway workers can close the gates, restricting access to I-84 due to hazardous dust conditions or other situations that make highway travel dangerous.

5 The AM frequency is different for the station near Mission.
6 CSEPP is the Chemical Stockpile Emergency Preparedness Program. The chemical stockpile in question is in the same vicinity as an area in Morrow and Umatilla counties occasionally subject to dust storms.
7 NOAA Weather Radio (NWR) is a nationwide network of radio stations broadcasting continuous weather information direct from a nearby National Weather Service office. NWR broadcasts National Weather Service warnings, watches, forecasts, and other hazard information 24 hours per day: http://www.nws.noaa.gov/nwr/
4. Additional Emergency Vehicle Crossover Access in Dust Prone Areas

In response to recommendations made by the Community Solutions Team during the spring of 2000, ODOT developed additional emergency vehicle crossover access on the interstate (better access for emergency response by fire, ambulance, and police officials and equipment to accident scenes), especially in areas where blowing dust has historically been a problem.

5. Using the “Wind Erosion Hazard Index” to Predict Dust Storms

Representatives from the USDA Agricultural Research Service, located in Pullman, WA and near Pendleton, have collaborated with the staff from the NOAA National Weather Service in Pendleton to develop a wind erosion hazard index to improve the anticipation of soil and weather conditions that could lead to dust storms. This work takes into account the unique properties of the fine silt loam soils prevalent in the area.

"I knew it was something big...a forest fire, a volcanic eruption, something," said Paul Ostapuk, a meteorologist with the Salt River project in Utah. "We're so clean and pristine up here, so it's immediately noticeable."

Ostapuk was referring to the huge, mustard-colored dust cloud that moved eastward from mainland Asia and left a hazy band in the sky from Calgary, Alberta, to Arizona. The dust storm, which originated April 3, 2001 in Mongolia, put so much particulate matter into the air that the jet stream carried it across the Pacific to North America. Ostapuk said such Asian storms are becoming more common. "We could see another one this month still," he said.

As the current dust haze dissipates and moves out over Colorado, it shouldn't create any weather nuisances, according to Chris Maier, lead forecaster for the National Weather Service in Salt Lake City. "Weather-wise, the storm will not create any problems," he said, "but we may see some very red sunsets."

8 "The Stanfield... storms were probably derived from soils that are sandy loams or loamy sands... silt loam or coarser."
Donald Horneck, Ph.D., Extension Agronomist - Hermiston
Short-Term Actions

Short-term actions are those actions that agencies are capable of implementing within their existing resources and authorities. Only state agencies are listed under “lead” or “support” below. Occasionally federal agencies, local governments, and other organizations may be shown in the text of the proposed action as a cooperating partner in implementing the action. Progress on actions is reported to the State Interagency Hazard Mitigation Team. Information on the acronyms used below may be found in Part IV, Appendix 13.

1. Learn from research underway at Washington State University about the latest methods of wind erosion prediction, and apply learning to reduce blowing dust hazards

Scientists continue to struggle with an imperfect knowledge of the chemistry and physics involved in the generation, detachment, and transport of dust particles. Washington State University (WSU) is developing a new model for wind erosion prediction in an effort to advance knowledge of how dust storms are generated. Among other things, research is aimed at developing more reliable instrumentation. By better understanding how dust storms are generated, Oregon should be able to reduce the frequency of dust storms and volume of dust available, as well as provide warning agencies with better information and more lead-time to issue advisories and warnings. Additional information on this WSU research may be found at:

http://cahenews.wsu.edu/RELEASES/2003/03002.htm

Share findings with local, state, and federal agencies involved in dust storm warnings such as the National Weather Service, ODOT, OSP, and public safety answering points. Also share findings with agencies having natural resource interests such as soil and water conservation districts, and Tribes.

Lead: ODA
Support: DEQ, ODF, ODFW
Timeline: Spring 2006
Resources: existing

2. Determine the effectiveness of EAS in dust prone areas at providing timely information to the traveling public about dangerous blowing dust conditions and make improvements if needed

One of several ideas proposed following the Sept. 1999 accidents on Interstate 84 near Echo was to “put word out quickly” utilizing the Emergency Alert System (EAS) via area radio stations about dust storm potential, and especially about actual conditions that are interfering with visibility. This idea was in addition to ODOT’s Highway Advisory Radio and related resources noted as success stories on pages DS-5 to DS-7.

With regard to the Mid-Columbia Region, it was noted that Tri-Cities radio stations need to be included because many people driving through that area are listening to stations based in Washington State.

ODOT and OSP have primary responsibility for activating the traffic advisory components of the dust storm response plan for the Mid-Columbia Region.

The National Weather Service can also activate EAS from their forecast offices in Pendleton, Boise, Medford, and Portland. Many local emergency program managers can also activate the system.

Lead: OEM
Support: OSP, ODOT
Timeline: Spring 2006
Resources: existing
3. **Explore ways of improving communication of hazardous blowing dust conditions between public safety answering points, ODOT, OSP, and local law enforcement agencies**

Community Solutions Team (CST) meetings in the Mid-Columbia Region of Oregon during the spring of 2000 identified that better communication between public safety answering points (PSAPs) about the existence and likely direction of travel of dust storms might have provided additional warning time for ODOT, OSP, and local law enforcement to stop travel on downwind highways likely to be affected.

Protocols and training should be considered that would result in PSAPs relaying information, as appropriate, to ODOT, OSP, local law enforcement, and the downwind neighboring PSAP regarding reports of dust storms headed in a particular direction. This may provide additional time for ODOT and law enforcement agencies to briefly close stretches of highways in the path of the storm and/or for reader boards and other advisory systems to be activated with information. There are a number of issues and factors that would need to be addressed in determining the feasibility of developing protocols and training on them:

- **Staffing/Costs** – Some PSAPs do not have more than one or two people per shift on duty; when events such as dust storms happen, personnel sometimes find it difficult to keep-up with existing, ongoing protocols.
- **Feasibility** – If the only information PSAPs have is based on what they are told by callers or mobile police/fire units via radio, will they know enough about the direction of travel of the dust storm to issue reliable information?
- **Technology** – Is new technology needed to accomplish the task contemplated?
- **Liability** – Would adding such protocols unnecessarily contribute to potential liability for PSAPs?

Work with APCO/NENA – and especially local PSAPs in dust storm prone areas – to explore the possibility of developing and training on communication protocols for dust storms.

**Lead:** OEM  
**Support:** DPSST, ODOT, OSP  
**Timeline:** Autumn 2006  
**Resources:** existing

4. **Provide additional information to the traveling public about dust storm driving safety**

Among the ideas generated by the Community Solutions Team meetings in the spring of 2000 was to provide additional public education outreach in dust storm prone areas of the state, especially Morrow and Umatilla counties. Among the ideas were the following:

- Provide dust storm driving information in safety rest area kiosks.
- Develop, print, and distribute "table cards" to area restaurants and truck stops, providing information on driving when visibility is reduced (dust storms, fog, smoke, etc.), perhaps making similar information available at DMV offices.
- Develop and distribute PSAs on the topic of driving in dust storm conditions to radio stations; stations would be encouraged to run these during peak periods when there is a strong possibility of high winds and blowing dust (Tri-Cities radio stations should be included).

Determine the merit of these ideas and implement those that are likely to result in a better-informed traveling public, thereby increasing safety on Oregon’s highways.

**Lead:** ODOT  
**Support:** OEM  
**Timeline:** Autumn 2006 and ongoing  
**Resources:** existing

5. **Consider developing procedures for escorting traffic through dust storms**

ODOT and OSP both have procedures for escorting traffic under various circumstances. ODOT especially has much experience escorting traffic. It may be possible to develop and implement procedures for escorting traffic through dangerous dust storm conditions.

**Lead:** ODOT  
**Support:** OSP  
**Timeline:** Autumn 2006 and ongoing  
**Resources:** existing
Long-Term Action Plan

Long-term actions are those that will require new or additional resources or authorities to implement. Only state agencies are listed under “lead” or “support” below. Occasionally federal agencies, local governments, and other organizations may be shown in the text of the proposed action as a potential cooperating partner in implementing the action. Progress on actions is reported to the State Interagency Hazard Mitigation Team. Information on the acronyms used below may be found in Part IV, Appendix 13.

1. Determine the feasibility of spraying natural materials on fields to reduce blowing dust; share findings with agricultural producers and natural resource agencies in dust prone areas of the state

Washington State University is studying the feasibility of spraying fields with a starch-based material made from potato byproducts to combat blowing dust. Related research involves controlling wind erosion by spraying fields with a compound consisting of lignin, carbohydrates, and ash minerals reclaimed from a straw pulping process.

If one or more of these methods proves feasible and cost effective, it would reduce loss of soil, deposition of silt in unwanted places, and the frequency and magnitude of dust storms. Promising findings should be shared with both farmers and natural resource agencies in wind erosion prone areas.

These and other ideas were reported at the 10th annual meeting of the Columbia Plateau Wind Erosion/Air Quality Project. This program is administered by Washington State University and the USDA's Agricultural Research Service. Scientists at the Washington State Department of Ecology, the Environmental Protection Agency, Natural Resources Conservation Service, and several local, state and regional grain grower groups play key advisory roles. The project covers low precipitation areas where blowing dust is a common problem, approximately three million acres of cropland in Washington and 500,000 acres in Oregon, mostly in areas that receive less than 12 inches of moisture each year. Additional information is available at:

http://cahenews.wsu.edu/RELEASES/2003/03002.htm

Lead: ODA
Support: DOGAMI, DEQ
Timeline: Autumn 2007
Resources: .5 FTE across three agencies
2. Promote agricultural practices that are known to reduce erosion of soil by wind, thereby reducing the frequency and magnitude of dust storms

It is clear that certain agricultural practices reduce the frequency and amount of blowing dust, as well as reduce wind-caused soil erosion. Oregon Department of Agriculture should continue to work with farmers, agricultural associations, and soil and water conservation districts to further promote and implement:

- Residue management, including no-till or direct seed farming
- Cover crops and other BMPs (see below)
- Field strip cropping systems
- Landscape buffers/windbreaks

The most commonly used practice for both wind and water erosion control is residue management. This involves leaving some or all of the residue from the previous crop on the soil surface to provide cover and surface roughness to provide protection against erosion. Residue management involves tillage practices that do not turn the soil over thus burying the residue. Reduced tillage, minimum tillage, no-till, mulch till, and conservation tillage are all terms used to describe the various methods used to accomplish residue management.

Other “best management practices” (BMPs) used in wind erosion prone areas include cover crops, annual or continuous cropping, and crop rotations. On irrigated land, a common practice is to irrigate soon after tillage to form a crust on the soil that reduces the potential for wind erosion.

Field strip cropping systems can reduce exposed surface area by up to 50% on each field.

Landscape buffers/windbreaks are likely the most expensive alternative because a series of properly spaced tree and shrub windbreaks requires the purchase of trees/shrubs, and since it would likely need irrigation, requires some infrastructure development and maintenance costs. Additionally, to be effective, it needs to be done as a system, involving multiple ownerships. The Coordinated Resource Management System approach might be used to obtain cooperation and achieve coordinated implementation.

The Columbia Plateau Wind Erosion/Air Quality Project, also known as the Columbia Plateau PM10 Project, has conducted years of research and has produced many fine publications. The latest report, *Farming with the Wind II* (Special Report XB1042) was released in Feb. 2004. For more information, see:

[http://pnw-winderosion.wsu.edu](http://pnw-winderosion.wsu.edu)

It's dust storm season, when a combination of plowed fields and high winds can stir dust, limiting visibility along roadways. As a reminder of this, high winds on Sunday, Sept. 29, 2002 kicked up dust in a few areas of northeastern Oregon, prompting ODOT crews and Oregon State Police to be on the alert.

"Jay Peterson and Duane Garrett monitored road conditions throughout the day," said George Ruby, ODOT District 12 assistant district manager. As a precaution, a warning message was displayed on the variable message signs in the area. ODOT and OSP were also prepared to request additional safety measures, before the high winds subsided.

"We had the signs turned off around 8 p.m.," Ruby said, adding that no incidents were reported.

3. Promote development of erosion control management plans and incentives in areas where blowing dust is known to create a hazard for the traveling public

In a few areas where blowing dust has been shown to contribute to traffic accidents, Oregon Department of Agriculture should assist local soil and water conservation districts to develop erosion control management plans and incentives. Other important stakeholders in the development of such plans and incentives would include the Oregon Soil and Water Conservation Commission, the Oregon Wheat Growers League, and other agricultural associations.

Lead: ODA
Support: ODOT
Timeline: Spring 2007
Resources: .5 FTE
Appendix DS-1: The Pacific Northwest Dust Storm of 1931

“(On the afternoon of Tuesday, April 21, 1931) ...the fine dust swirled and rose, filling the sky, forming a cloud that stood 4,000 to 5,000 feet high and even higher... J. T. Livermore reported that he flew 250 miles at an altitude of over 10,000 feet, and still the cockpit of his plane filled with dust... The Dalles Optimist described the drama: ‘By 6 p.m. the air was so filled with sand that a pall like unto that caused by an eclipse of the sun was spread over the land. Chickens sought their roosts.’ After the first gusts, the wind diminished to around 30 miles per hour, but it blew steadily for three days... Old-timers... reminisced about the great sandstorm of 1906 that lasted two weeks. ‘This was nothing like that, they maintained. That was a lot worse.’

It was the same all over Portland that Tuesday night. First the sky took on a yellow-red tinge; then, abruptly, winds of around 50 miles per hour began to blow. Witnesses described a huge coppery cloud that swept out of the Gorge and down on the city, spreading like a giant fan... (by Sunday it was calculated that) over three million pounds of dust had fallen on the city, enough to fill 33 freight cars... Portlanders maintained that ‘the very palpable atmosphere even tasted like turnips’... In McMinnville, a Linfield College student calculated that 48,400 pounds of dust had fallen on every square mile of land in the vicinity each day for three days.

In Albany the dust arrived late Tuesday night and was worse on Wednesday. Most of it came down the valley, although the local newspaper insisted that much had blown over the Cascades and directly into town from the east... In Roseburg, the wind gusted to only about 25 miles per hour, but the dust, which hit about 1 a.m. Wednesday was every bit as annoying as it was in more northerly places... (In its southerly travel) the dust seems to have stopped at Roseburg... but it clearly went west, following the Columbia River and... Coos Bay reported that the sand and dust that covered everything had blown in over the Coast Range.

Captain Henry Speller of the freighter Maui... about 500 miles off the coast... could only conclude that some nearby volcano had erupted... the steamship Sacramento sailed into Gray’s Harbor... looking as if it had crossed the Sahara... At its height, the dust cloud that brought grit to Astoria stretched for nearly 1,000 miles, from more than 300 miles east of Portland to well over 500 miles out above the Pacific Ocean... Not since Krakatoa had erupted nearly 50 years before... had so much dust hung in western Oregon skies.”

9 The main source for Appendix DS-1 is the Pacific Northwest Quarterly, “The Pacific Northwest Dust Storm of 1931,” Paul C. Pitzer, April 1988, pp. 50-55. Citations were also informed by the following sources used by Mr. Pitzer:

Albany Democrat-Herald, April 22, 1931
Astoria Evening Budget, April 24, 1931
Coos Bay Times, April 22, 23, 1931
Corvallis Gazette-Times, April 22, 24, 1931
Pendleton East Oregonian, April 22, 1931
Portland Oregonian, April 22, 25, 26 and May 1, 1931
Roseburg News-Review, April 22, 23, 1931
Salem Oregon Journal, April 22, 23, 24, 1931
San Francisco Chronicle, April 25, 29, 1931
The Dalles Optimist, April 24, 1931
Wenatchee Daily World, April 22, 1931
Beef Cattle Industry in Oregon: 1890-1938, Dexter K. Strong, 1940
Wind Erosion and Dust Storms in Oregon, Arthur King, 1938
Appendix DS-2: Excerpts from DEQ OAR 340-206-0040, Air Pollution Emergencies, Special Conditions

(2) Where particulate is primarily soil from windblown dust or fallout from volcanic activity, episodes dealing with such conditions must be treated differently than particulate episodes caused by other controllable sources. In making a declaration of air pollution alert, warning, or emergency for such particulate, the Department shall be guided by the following criteria:

(a) "Air Pollution Alert for Particulate from Volcanic Fallout or Windblown Dust" means total suspended particulate values are significantly above standard but the source is volcanic eruption or dust storm. In this condition there is no significant danger to public health but there may be a public nuisance created from the dusty conditions. It may be advisable under these circumstances to voluntarily restrict traffic volume and/or speed limits on major thoroughfares and institute cleanup procedures. The Department will declare an air pollution alert for particulate from volcanic fallout or wind-blown dust when total suspended particulate values at any monitoring site exceed or are projected to exceed 800 ug/m³ — 24-hour average and the suspended particulate is primarily from volcanic activity or dust storms, meteorological conditions notwithstanding;

(b) "Air Pollution Warning for Particulate from Volcanic Fallout or Windblown Dust" means total suspended particulate values are very high but the source is volcanic eruption or dust storm. Prolonged exposure over several days at or above these levels may produce respiratory distress in sensitive individuals. Under these conditions staggered work hours in metropolitan areas, mandated traffic reduction, speed limits and cleanup procedures may be required. The Department will declare an air pollution warning for particulate from volcanic fallout or wind-blown dust when total suspended particulate values at any monitoring site exceed or are expected to exceed 2,000 ug/m³ — 24-hour average and the suspended particulate is primarily from volcanic activity or dust storms, meteorological conditions not withstanding;

(c) "Air Pollution Emergency for Particulate from Volcanic Fallout or Windblown Dust" means total suspended particulate values are extremely high but the source is volcanic eruption or dust storm. Prolonged exposure over several days at or above these levels may produce respiratory distress in a significant number of people. Under these conditions cleaning procedures must be accomplished before normal traffic can be permitted. An air pollution emergency for particulate from volcanic fallout or wind-blown dust will be declared by the Director, who shall keep the Governor advised of the situation, when total suspended particulate values at any monitoring site exceed or are expected to exceed 5,000 ug/m³ — 24-hour average and the suspended particulate is primarily from volcanic activity or dust storms, meteorological conditions notwithstanding.

(3) Termination: Any air pollution condition for particulate established by these criteria may be reduced to a lower condition when the criteria for establishing the higher condition are no longer observed.

(4) Action: Municipal and county governments or other governmental agency having jurisdiction in areas affected by an air pollution Alert, Warning or Emergency for particulate from volcanic fallout or windblown dust shall place into effect the actions pertaining to such episodes which are described in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAR 340-206-0040 – Table 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part A - ALERT Condition Actions</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Traffic reduction by voluntary route control in contaminated areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Voluntary motor vehicle speed limits in dusty or fallout areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Voluntary street sweeping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Voluntary wash down of traffic areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part B - WARNING Condition Actions</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Continue and intensify alert procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Mandated speed limits and route control in contaminated areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Mandate wash down of exposed horizontal surfaces where feasible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Request businesses to stagger work hours where possible as a means of avoiding heavy traffic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part C - EMERGENCY Condition Actions</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Continue warning level procedures, expanding applicable area if necessary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Prohibit all except emergency traffic on major roads and thoroughfares until the area has been cleaned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Other measures may be required at the discretion of the Governor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ambient particulate control measures to be taken as appropriate in episode area